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## Variational Quantum Algorithms

© What is VQAs?
$\bigcirc$ VQE and QAOA

## Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm

## Level p-QAOA

1. Initialize the quantum processor in $|+\rangle^{\otimes N}$
2. Generate a variational wavefunction

$$
\left|\psi_{p}(\vec{\gamma}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right\rangle=e^{-i \beta_{p} H_{B}} e^{-i \gamma_{p} H_{C}} \cdots e^{-i \beta_{1} H_{B}} e^{-i \gamma_{1} H_{C}}|+\rangle^{\otimes N}
$$

by applying the problem Hamiltonian $H_{C}$ and a mixing Hamiltonian $H_{B}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j}$
3. Determine the expectation value

$$
F_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\left\langle\psi_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right| H_{C}\left|\psi_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right\rangle
$$

4. Search for the optimal parameters

$$
\left(\vec{\gamma}^{*}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}\right)=\arg \max _{\vec{\gamma}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} F_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})
$$

by a classical computer

[L. Zhou et al., Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm: Performance, Mechanism, and Implementation on Near-Term Devices, Phys. Rev. X 10, 021067, 2020]

$$
\text { Approximation ratio } \quad r=\frac{F_{p}\left(\vec{\gamma}^{*}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}\right)}{C_{\max }}
$$

## Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE)

Some variational ansatze - targeted at quantum simulation
$\checkmark$ Hamiltonian Variational ansatz:

- Assume that: we want to find the ground state of $H=\sum_{i} H_{i}$
we can write $H=H_{B}+H_{C}$
$\uparrow \quad$ easy to prepare the ground state of $H_{B}$
- Then: prepare the ground state of $H_{A}$

For each of $L$ layers $l$, implement $\prod_{k} e^{i t_{l k} H_{k}}$ for some times $t_{l k} \in \mathbb{R}$

- Intuition comes from the quantum adiabatic theorem:

As $L \rightarrow \infty$, this ansatz provably can represent the ground state of $H$.

## Adiabatic

## Quantum Computing

## Adiabatic Quantum Computing

= Quantum Annealing


Figure 1. Schematic illustration of adiabatic quantum computing: by starting from the solution of a simple optimization problem (left) and slowly changing it to a complicated one (right), we are guaranteed by the adiabatic theorem to stay in the minimum during the whole evolution

## Adiabatic Quantum Computing

Hamiltonian and time evolution
$\checkmark$ Schrödinger equation:

- Time evolution of a quantum system with Hamiltonian $H$

$$
H|\psi(t)\rangle=i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(t)\rangle
$$

- For time-independent $H$ :

$$
|\psi(t)\rangle=e^{-i H t / \hbar}|\psi(0)\rangle
$$

$\checkmark$ Adiabatic theorem:
If the Hamiltonian of a quantum system in its ground state is perturbed slowly enough, the system remains in its ground state.

## Adiabatic Quantum Computing

Hamiltonian and time evolution

- Consider the Hamiltonian $H=H_{B}+H_{C}$
- Time evolution operator

$$
U(t)=e^{-\frac{i H t}{\hbar}}=e^{-\frac{i\left(H_{B}+H_{C}\right) t}{\hbar}}
$$

- For commuting matrices $H_{B}, H_{C}$ :

$$
e^{H_{B}+H_{C}}=e^{H_{B}} e^{H_{C}}
$$

$\checkmark$ Trotter Suzuki Formula:

$$
e^{-i\left(H_{B}+H_{C}\right) t} \approx\left(e^{-i H_{B} t / r} e^{-i H_{C} t / r}\right)^{r}
$$

## Adiabatic Quantum Computing

Adiabatic path or Annealing schedule
$\checkmark$ Adiabatic path or Annealing schedule:

$$
H(t)=\frac{t}{T} H_{C}+\left(1-\frac{t}{T}\right) H_{B} \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

$\checkmark$ Discretizing AQC and QAOA:

$$
U(T)=U(T, 0)=U\left(T,\left|\psi_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right\rangle=e^{-i \beta_{p} H_{B}} e^{-i \gamma_{p} H_{C}} \cdots e^{-i \beta_{1} H_{B}} e^{-i \gamma_{1} H_{C}}|+\rangle^{\otimes N}\right.
$$

$$
\approx \prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{-i H(j \Delta t) \Delta t}=\prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{-i\left(\frac{\mathrm{j} \Delta t}{T} H_{C}+\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{j} \Delta t}{T}\right) H_{B}\right) \Delta t} \approx \prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{-\left(\frac{j \Delta t}{T}\right) \Delta t t} C_{V} e^{\left.-\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{j} \Delta t}{T}\right) \Delta t\right) H_{B}}
$$

## Variants of QAOA

## Quantum Physics
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## Variants of QAOA

$\checkmark$ Recursive QAOA (2019) : iteratively reduces the problem size


$M_{2,4}=-0.4$

$$
z_{4}=-z_{2}
$$


solve

[S. Bravyi et al., Obstacles to State Preparation and Variational Optimization from Symmetry Protection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 260505 (2019)]

## Variants of QAOA

$\checkmark$ Recursive QAOA (2019) : iteratively reduces the problem size
$\checkmark$ Warm starting QAOA (2021) $\qquad$


Figure 2: Quantum circuit for WS-QAOA. The first $\hat{R}_{Y}$ rotations prepare the initial state $\left|\phi^{*}\right\rangle$. The mixer operator, i.e. $\hat{R}_{Y}\left(\theta_{i}\right) \hat{R}_{Z}\left(-2 \beta_{k}\right) \hat{R}_{Y}\left(-\theta_{i}\right)$, is applied after the time-evolved problem Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{C}$.

## Variants of QAOA

$\checkmark$ Recursive QAOA (2019) : iteratively reduces the problem size
$\checkmark$ Warm starting QAOA (2021)
$\checkmark$ Feedback-based ALgorithm for Qauntum OptimizatioN (FALQON) (2021)


## Variants of QAOA

$\checkmark$ Recursive QAOA (2019) : iteratively reduces the problem size
$\checkmark$ Warm starting QAOA (2021)
$\checkmark \quad$ FALQON (2021)
$\checkmark$ Adaptive QAOA (2022) $\longrightarrow$

- different mixer Hamiltonian at each level




## Variants of QAOA

$\checkmark$ Recursive QAOA (2019) : iteratively reduces the problem size
$\checkmark \quad$ Warm starting QAOA (2021)
$\checkmark \quad$ FALQON (2021)
$\checkmark \quad$ Adaptive QAOA (2022)

- shortcuts to adiabaticity



## Variants of QAOA

$\checkmark$ Recursive QAOA (2019) : iteratively reduces the problem size
$\checkmark$ Warm starting QAOA (2021)
$\checkmark$ Adaptive QAOA (2022)


## Variants of QAOA




FALQON




[K. Blekos et al., A Review on Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm and its Variants, arXiv:2306.09198 (2023)]

## Implementing QAOA Hands-on

## Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm

## Level p-QAOA

1. Initialize the quantum processor in $|+\rangle^{\otimes N}$
2. Generate a variational wavefunction

$$
\left|\psi_{p}(\vec{\gamma}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right\rangle=e^{-i \beta_{p} H_{B}} e^{-i \gamma_{p} H_{C}} \cdots e^{-i \beta_{1} H_{B}} e^{-i \gamma_{1} H_{C}}|+\rangle^{\otimes N}
$$

by applying the problem Hamiltonian $H_{C}$ and a mixing Hamiltonian $H_{B}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j}$
3. Determine the expectation value

$$
F_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\left\langle\psi_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right| H_{C}\left|\psi_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right\rangle
$$

4. Search for the optimal parameters

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{*}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}\right)=\arg \max _{\vec{\gamma}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} F_{p}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}})
$$

by a classical computer

[L. Zhou et al., Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm: Performance, Mechanism, and Implementation on Near-Term Devices, Phys. Rev. X 10, 021067, 2020]

$$
\text { Approximation ratio } \quad r=\frac{F_{p}\left(\vec{\gamma}^{*}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}\right)}{C_{\max }}
$$
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\text { Approximation ratio } \quad r=\frac{F_{p}\left(\vec{\gamma}^{*}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}\right)}{C_{\max }}
$$

## Implementing QAOA

Pauli operators $X, Y$, and $Z$
Pauli operators (single qubit operations)

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$



Pauli $X$


Pauli $Y$


Pauli $Z$

## Implen

Pauli operat

## Single-Qubit Gates

$$
|\psi\rangle=\cos (\theta / 2)|0\rangle+e^{i \phi} \sin (\theta / 2)|1\rangle=\binom{\cos (\theta / 2)}{e^{i \phi} \sin (\theta / 2)}
$$

Rotation matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{R}_{\mathrm{x}}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
-\mathrm{i} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \hat{R}_{\mathrm{y}}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \hat{R}_{\mathrm{z}}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-i \theta / 2} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta / 2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The rotation matrices are a linear combination of the Pauli operators: $\hat{\sigma}_{x}, \hat{\sigma}_{y}, \hat{\sigma}_{z}$ and the identity operator ( $\hat{I}$ ).

$$
\hat{R}_{\mathrm{x}}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right)=\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{l}-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \chi x=e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2} X}
$$

$$
\hat{R}_{y}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right)=\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{l}-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \Phi_{y} \quad=e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2} Y}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{\mathrm{z}}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-\frac{i \theta}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\frac{i \theta}{2}}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2}-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}+i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{I}-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \text { Z }
\end{aligned}=e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2} Z}
$$

## Implementing QAOA

The circuits of $H_{C}$ and $H_{B}$


## The Mixing Unitary

## Implementing QAOA

The circuits of $H_{C}$ and $H_{B}$


$$
H_{B}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j} \quad U(\beta)=e^{-i \beta H_{B}}
$$

from qiskit import QuantumCircuit, ClassicalRegister, QuantumRegister from qiskit import Aer, execute
from qiskit.circuit import Parameter
\# Adjacency is essentially a matrix which tells you which nodes are非 connected. This matrix is given as a sparse matrix, so we need to非 convert it to a dense matrix
adjacency $=$ nx.adjacency_matrix(G).todense()
nqubits $=4$
beta $=$ Parameter("\$<br>beta\$")
qc_mix = QuantumCircuit(nqubits)
for i in range(0, nqubits)
qc_mix.rx(2 * beta, i)
qc_mix.draw()
try

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}-R_{2_{x} \beta_{1}}^{R_{2}} \\
& q_{1}-\mathrm{R}_{2 \times \beta}- \\
& q_{2}-{\underset{2}{2} \times \beta_{1}}_{R_{X}} \\
& q_{3}-{ }_{2^{2} \cdot \beta}^{R_{x}}-
\end{aligned}
$$

## Implementing QAOA

The circuits of $H_{C}$ and $H_{B}$


Pauli matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
Z|0\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{0}=|0\rangle, \quad Z|1\rangle=-|1\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that

$$
e^{A}|v\rangle=e^{\lambda}|v\rangle \quad \text { if } A|v\rangle=\lambda|v\rangle
$$

Since $Z|x\rangle=(-1)^{x}|x\rangle$,

$$
e^{-i \gamma Z_{i} Z_{j}}\left|x_{i} x_{j}\right\rangle=e^{-i \gamma\left(x_{i} \oplus x_{j}\right)}\left|x_{i} x_{j}\right\rangle
$$

## Implementing QAOA

The circuits of $H_{C}$ and $H_{B}$

$$
H_{C}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{i, j\} \in E}\left(1-Z_{i} Z_{j}\right) \quad U(\gamma)=e^{-i \gamma H_{C}}
$$

## The Problem Unitary

```
gamma = Parameter("$\\gamma$")
qc_p = QuantumCircuit(nqubits)
for pair in list(G.edges()): 非 pairs of nodes
    qc_p.rzz(2 * gamma, pair[0], pair[1])
    qc_p.barrier()
qc_p.decompose().draw()
```

try
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